

WILD WISE: READY, SET, DISCOVER SHARING SOLUTIONS

Presentation Rubric 2017-2018

Date:	Interviewer:	Teacher/School:	Group:

Problem Statement:

Prompts	2 - Meets Expectations	1 - Approaching Expectations	0 - Below Expectations	Total	
Clear statement of prol	plem				
What problem did you focus on for your project? What factors (e.g. benefit for wildlife, cost) did you consider?	☐ Problem statement clearly identifies an issue relevant to both local amphibians and local ecosystems.	☐ Problem statement identifies an issue relevant to either a local amphibian or the local ecosystem.	☐ Problem statement not included or problem statement identifies an issue that is not relevant to either a local amphibian or a local ecosystem.		
	☐ Included two or more factors/constraints.	☐ Included one factor/constraint.	☐ Factors or constraints not included.		
	☐ Demonstrated an understanding of: ☐ 2 connections between amphibians and other ecosystem components (plants, animals, environment) that help the amphibians survive. ☐ Human impacts on the ecosystem	□ Demonstrated limited understanding of either: □ 2 connections between amphibians and other ecosystem components (plants, animals, environment) that help the amphibians survive. □ Human impacts on the ecosystem	☐ Understanding of ecosystem connections not addressed		
Information gathering					
What resources did you use for your research? Why did you select those resources? How did you know they were high quality resources?	☐ Presented information derived from 3 or more credible sources. ☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4	☐ Presented information derived from 1-2 sources or included a source that is not credible.	☐ Outside sources for information were not used.		
Determining solutions					
Did you consider any other solutions?	☐ Generated two or more possible solutions to meet the criteria and constraints of the problem.	Generated one possible solution to meet the criteria and constraints of the problem.	☐ Solutions not included.		
	solutions to meet the criteria and	meet the criteria and constraints of the	☐ Solutions not included.		

Prompts	2 - Meets Expectations	1 - Approaching Expectations	0 - Below Expectations	
Evidence for choosing best fit solution				
Did you consider any other solutions? How did you determine this was the best one? How will your proposed solution benefit local amphibians?	☐ Included one or more general suggestions for habitat improvement with clear explanation of the benefit for the focal species.	☐ Included general suggestions for habitat improvement without clear explanation of the benefit for the focal species.	☐ No suggestions for habitat improvement included.	
	☐ Supported their choice of solution through a combination of evidence from all 3 of these:	☐ Supported their choice of solution through a combination of evidence from 1-2 of these:	☐ Evidence for choice of solution absent.	
	☐ their research ☐ Outdoor and Zoo Explorations ☐ prior knowledge	☐ their research ☐ Outdoor and Zoo Explorations ☐ prior knowledge		
Viability of Solution				
Is your solution something that you could do? How did you consider how easy or hard it would be? Does your proposed solution help or harm wildlife or people? Is your proposed solution supported by your research?	☐ Project shows consideration of two of these factors in developing their solution: ☐ feasibility ☐ ethical considerations ☐ accuracy of information	☐ Project shows consideration of one of these factors in developing their solution: ☐ feasibility ☐ ethical considerations ☐ accuracy of information	☐ Did not explain how these factors were considered in development of the solution.	
Scientific Communication & Collaboration				
How did you divide up the work between team members? How did you decide who did each part of the project?	☐ Shared responsibility for completion of the project with all team members.	☐ Shared responsibility for completion of the project with some team members.	☐ Responsibility was not shared equally (one or two team members completed most of the work).	
	Communicated effectively as scientists:	□ Communicated somewhat effectively as scientists: o ideas with some supporting evidence order of presentation was sometimes confusing o ideas somewhat support the problem statement	□ Did not communicate effectively as scientists: o ideas were unclear o order of presentation was confusing ideas did not support the problem statement	
Total Points	Final Score Key: [0 – 9] Below Expectations [10 - 15] Approaching Expectations [16 – 20] Meets Expectations			